

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/047,499	ZEIRA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Denise Tran	2186	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** amendment after the first action

(1) Denise Tran.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Edward Langer Reg. No. 30,564).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 July 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

112 second

**Claims discussed:**

6, 8, and 10-17

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The message was left about a propose amendment to overcome the 112 second rejection in order to place the application in an early allowance condition; however, there was no response.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Neusyan  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)